arXiv:1004.3387v2 [math.DG] 26 Aug 2011

Solutions of the equation of a spinorial Yamabe-type problem on manifolds of bounded geometry

Nadine Große

Abstract

We consider a spinorial Yamabe-type problem on open manifolds of bounded geometry. The aim is to study the existence of solutions to the associated Euler-Lagrange-equation. We show that under suitable assumptions such a solution exists. As an application, we prove that existence of a solution implies the conformal Hijazi inequality for the underlying spin manifold.

1 Introduction

Let (M,g,σ) be an n-dimensional connected Riemannian spin manifold with corresponding classical Dirac operator $D=D_g$. The spin structure σ is supposed to be fixed.
The Dirac operator has a similar behaviour under conformal transformations as the conformal Laplacian, that is used to analyze the Yamabe invariant, see e.g. [13]. Thus, in the spirit of the Yamabe invariant, a conformal invariant of the Dirac operator, called λ^+_{min} was examined in [1, 2]. In [11] we generalized these considerations to open manifolds.

Many of the properties of the Yamabe invariants could be proven for λ^+_{min} as well, e.g. the value for the standard sphere is the highest possible one, cf. Theorem 6. But other questions are still open, e.g. whether there exists closed spin manifolds, not conformally diffeomorphic to the standard sphere (with the same dimension) but with the λ^+_{min}-invariant of this standard sphere.
The methods that can be used are sometimes similar to the ones of the Yamabe invariant, but since we work with spinors there is e.g. no maximum principle.

Firstly, a definition of λ^+_{min} is given by:

Definition 1.

$$\lambda^+_{min}(M,g,\sigma)=\inf\left\{\frac{\|D\phi\|^2_{q_{crit}}}{(D\phi,\phi)}\;\middle|\;\phi\in C_c^\infty(M,S),(D\phi,\phi)>0\right\}$$

MSC: 53C27, 53A30, 35R01
Keywords: spin conformal geometry, open manifolds with bounded geometry, PDE
Acknowledgement: The main part of this work during the author's stay at the university of Regensburg. The author wants to thank Bernd Ammann for many fruitful discussions.

with $q_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n+1}$ *and* $C_c^\infty(M,S)$ *denotes the set of compactly supported smooth spinors.*

For $\overline{g} = f^2 g$ ($f \in C^\infty_{>0}(M)$) and $\overline{\phi} = f^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\phi$ (using the identification of spinor bundles w.r.t. conformal metrics [12, Sect. 4.1]) we have $\int_M \langle D_g\phi, \phi\rangle \mathrm{dvol}_g = \int_M \langle D_{\overline{g}}\overline{\phi}, \overline{\phi}\rangle \mathrm{dvol}_{\overline{g}}$ and $\int_M |D_g\phi|^{q_{crit}} \mathrm{dvol}_g = \int_M |D_{\overline{g}}\overline{\phi}|^{q_{crit}} \mathrm{dvol}_{\overline{g}}$. Thus, λ^+_{min} is actually a conformal invariant.
If it is clear from the context to which metric we refer, we will shortly write $\lambda^+_{min}(M)$.

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange-equation is

$$D\phi = \lambda^+_{min}|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi \text{ with } \|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1, \tag{1}$$

where $p_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n-1}$, [1, Lem. 2.7]. On closed manifolds, the existence of a solution of (1) was shown in [1, Thm. 1.6.] for $\lambda^+_{min}(M) < \lambda^+_{min}(S^n)$ using the compactness of the subcritical Sobolev embeddings.
On open manifolds, such Sobolev embeddings do not exist in general or if they exist, they aren't always compact.

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence of a solution under suitable assumptions. The idea is to adapt techniques we used in [10] to prove the existence of a solution of the Yamabe equation to the spinorial case. We will use weighted Sobolev spaces, where compactness holds for manifolds of bounded geometry, i.e. manifolds where the injectivity radius is positive and the curvature and its derivatives of all orders are bounded. The weighted Sobolev embeddings for the spaces of spinors can be found in Appendix A.

Theorem 2. *Let* (M^n, g, σ) *be an open connected Riemannian spin manifold of bounded geometry satisfying* $\overline{\lambda^+_{min}(M)} > \lambda^+_{min}(M)$. *Moreover, we assume that there is an* $L^{[q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]}$*-lower bound for an* $\epsilon > 0$. *Then there exists a spinor* $\phi \in H_1^{q_{crit}} \cap L^{p_{crit}}$ *smooth outside its zero set and with* $D\phi = \lambda^+_{min}|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$ *and* $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$. *Moreover,* ϕ *is locally in* $C^{1,\alpha}$.

Here, $\overline{\lambda^+_{min}}$ denotes an invariant of the manifold at infinity, see Def. 7.

Definition 3. *We say that there is an* L^q-lower bound*, if there exists a constant* $c_q > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\phi\|_q \leq c\|D\phi\|_q$$

for all $\phi \in H_1^q \cap \mathrm{im}_{L^q}(D)$ *where* $\mathrm{im}_{L^q}(D)$ *denotes the image of* $D : L^q \to L^q$.
Moreover, if there is an $L^{\tilde{q}}$*-lower bound for all* $\tilde{q} \in [q, q']$, *we say that there is an* $L^{[q,q']}$*-lower bound.*

Remark 4. Note that although we call it a lower bound we allow L^q-harmonic spinors.

We will prove Theorem 2 in Section 3 by considering corresponding weighted subcritical problems. There, the L^q-lower bounds will ensure the positivity of λ_q, see Lemma 11.
Question. It would be nice to see whether having an L^q-lower bound implies an $L^{[q,q+\epsilon]}$-lower bound. Or whether invertibility of the Dirac operator acting

on L^q and $im_{L^q}D$, respectively, is an open property in q?
One knows e.g. that the L^p-spectrum of the standard Laplacian is independent of p if the Ricci curvature is bounded from below and the volume has subexponential growth (cf. [16] or [6]). Maybe one can hope for a similar result for the Dirac operator.

The assumption on the λ^+_{min}-invariant at infinity can be dropped when considering homogeneous manifolds of positive scalar curvature, see Theorem 16.

As an application we show in Section 4 that existence of a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation implies the conformal Hijazi inequality, an inequality that compares λ^+_{min} with the Yamabe invariant Q, cf. Section 4:

Theorem 5. *Let (M^n, g, σ) be an open connected Riemannian spin manifold of bounded geometry with an $L^{[q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]}$-lower bound for an $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover, either let $\overline{\lambda^+_{min}(M)} > \lambda^+_{min}(M)$ or let M be homogeneous with positive scalar curvature (cf. Theorem 16). Then,*

$$\lambda^+_{min}(M, g, \sigma)^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} Q(M, g).$$

The outline of the paper is as follows: First we collect in Section 2 some properties of λ^+_{min}. In Section 3 we will prove the Theorem 2 introducing corresponding weighted subcritical problems. In the last section we show that the existence of a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of λ^+_{min} ensures that the conformal Hijazi inequality holds for the underlying manifold.
The weighted Sobolev embeddings needed in Section 3 are explained in the Appendix.

2 Preliminaries on λ^+_{min}

We shortly collect known properties of λ^+_{min}:

Theorem 6. *[11, 9] Let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ be open subsets of (M, g, σ) equipped with the induced metric and spin structure. Then,*

$$\lambda^+_{min}(M) \leq \lambda^+_{min}(\Omega_2) \leq \lambda^+_{min}(\Omega_1) \leq \lambda^+_{min}(S^n) = \frac{n}{2}\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where S^n is the standard sphere with volume ω_n.

We will further need the notion of the Yamabe invariant at infinity. With the help of this invariant one can ensure convergence of a minimizing sequence in the critical problem.

Definition 7. *[11, Def. 1.3] Let M be open, connected and complete. Fix $z \in M$. Denote by $B_R \subset M$ the ball around z with radius R w.r.t. the metric g. Then,*

$$\overline{\lambda^+_{min}(M, g, \sigma)} := \lim_{R\to\infty} \lambda^+_{min}(M \setminus B_R, g, \sigma).$$

Existence of the limit follows from Theorem 6. Moreover, the definition is independent of the choice of z and we have $\overline{\lambda^+_{min}(M)} \geq \lambda^+_{min}(M)$.

3 The weighted subcritical problem

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2.

Strategy. The method itself is similar to the one we used for the Yamabe equation [10] but the proofs of the steps themselves have to be adapted to the λ^+_{min}-invariant involving the Dirac operator.
The main idea is again to consider a weighted subcritical problem, see Definition 8.
Firstly, in Lemma 13 we prove the existence of a solution to the weighted subcritical problem, see Def. 8 for $\alpha > 0$ and $q > q_{crit}$. Then, convergence is obtained in a two-step process: In Lemma 14, a solution of the weighted critical problem ($\alpha > 0$, $q = q_{crit}$) is obtained. After that, we obtain in Lemma 15 a solution to the (unweighted) critical problem ($\alpha = 0$, $q = q_{crit}$).

We fix a point $z \in M$. Let $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$ be a radial (w.r.t. $z \in M$) and admissible weight, cf. Def. A.1, such that $|\rho| \leq 1$, $\rho(x) \to 0$ as $r = dist(x, z) \to \infty$. We choose $\rho \sim e^{-r}$, see Rem. 23.ii.

Definition 8. *Let*

$$\lambda_q^\alpha = \lambda_q^\alpha(M, g, \sigma) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q^2}{(D\phi, \phi)} \,\Big|\, \phi \in C_c^\infty(M, S),\ (D\phi, \phi) > 0 \right\}$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ *and* $q \in [q_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n+1}, 2)$. *Moreover,* $\lambda_q := \lambda_q^{\alpha=0}$.

Note that $\lambda^+_{min} := \lambda^{\alpha=0}_{q_{crit}}$.

Remark 9.
i) If we decompose $C_c^\infty(M, S) \ni \phi = \phi_i + \phi_k$ such that $\phi_k \in \ker_{L^q} D$ and $\phi_i \in im_{L^q} D$, we obtain

$$\frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q^2}{(D\phi, \phi)} = \frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_i\|_q^2}{(D\phi_i, \phi)} = \frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_i\|_q^2}{(\phi_i, D\phi)} = \frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_i\|_q^2}{(\phi_i, D\phi_i)}.$$

Thus, for complete manifolds we can also take the infimum over all spinors $\phi \in im_{L^q} D \cap \rho^\alpha L^p \cap \rho^{-\alpha} H_1^q$.
ii) The Euler-Lagrange equation reads

$$D(\lambda_q^\alpha \phi - \rho^{-\alpha q} |D\phi|^{q-2} D\phi) = 0 \text{ with } \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q = 1.$$

As in [1, Lem. 2.7], we obtain a dual equation by setting $\psi = \rho^{-\alpha q} |D\phi|^{q-2} D\phi$:

$$D\psi = \lambda_q^\alpha \rho^{\alpha p} |\psi|^{p-2} \psi \text{ with } \|\rho^\alpha \psi\|_p = 1.$$

iii) Instead of considering the above invariants, we could replace the denominator by the absolute value of the former expression, i.e. $|(D\phi, \phi)|$, and allow all ϕ with $(D\phi, \phi) \neq 0$. For the corresponding invariant everything below works as well. These invariants might be in general smaller than the corresponding λ_q^α.

Lemma 10.
i) *For* $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\lambda_q^\alpha \leq \lambda_q^\beta$. *Moreover,* $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \lambda_q^\alpha = \lambda_q$.
ii) $\lambda_q^\alpha \geq \limsup_{s \to q} \lambda_s^\alpha$

Proof.
i) From $0 < \rho \leq 1$, we obtain $\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q \leq \|\rho^{-\beta} D\phi\|_q$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$. Since λ_q^α is always non-negative, $\lambda_q^\alpha \leq \lambda_q^\beta$ and $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \lambda_q^\alpha \geq \lambda_q$. Since $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q = \|D\phi\|_q$, we obtain $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \lambda_q^\alpha = \lambda_q$.
ii) Since $\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_s \to \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q$ for $s \to q$. We have $\lambda_q^\alpha \geq \limsup_{s\to q} \lambda_s^\alpha$. ☐

Next, we show that under suitable assumptions we can ensure the positivity of λ_s:

Lemma 11. *Assume that the Sobolev embedding $H_1^q \hookrightarrow \rho^\alpha L^p$ is continuous where q and p are conjugate, $2 \leq p \leq p_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Moreover, let there be an L^q-lower bound. Then, $\lambda_q^\alpha > 0$. If there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the Sobolev embedding from above is continuous for all $q \in [q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]$ and there is an $L^{[q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]}$-lower bound, then $\limsup_{q\to q_{crit}} \lambda_q > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in im_{L^q} D \cap \rho^{-\alpha} H_1^q$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(D\phi, \phi) &\leq \|\rho^\alpha \phi\|_p \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,q}(\|\phi\|_q + \|D\phi\|_q)\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q \leq \tilde{C_{\alpha,q}} \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi\|_q^2\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is the Hölder inequality, the third one is given by the Sobolev embedding and the last one is obtained from the L^q-lower bound and $|\rho| \leq 1$. With Remark 9.i, we obtain $\lambda_q^\alpha > 0$.
With the additional assumptions and since $C_{\alpha,q}$ depends continuously on q, we obtain analogously the second claim setting $\alpha = 0$. ☐

Remark 12. In particular, Lemma 11 gives a simple proof of the fact that on closed manifolds λ_{min}^+ is always positive [2, Lem. 4.3.1(1)]. With Theorem 21 we have the continuity of the above embedding on manifolds of bounded geometry. Thus, for manifolds of bounded geometry with an $L^{q_{crit}}$-lower bound λ_{min}^+ is always positive.
For a general manifold, λ_{min}^+ can be zero, e.g. if a complete spin manifold of finite volume has a positive element in the essential spectrum of its Dirac operator [8, Lem. 3.3.iii].

We come now to the weighted subcritical problem.

Lemma 13. *Let the weighted Sobolev embedding $H_1^q \hookrightarrow \rho^\alpha L^p$ be compact for $\alpha > 0$, $2 \leq p < p_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and let q be conjugate to p. Furthermore, we assume that there is an L^q-lower bound. Then there exists a spinor $\phi \in H_1^q$ with $D\phi = \lambda_q^\alpha \rho^{\alpha p} |\phi|^{p-2} \phi$ and $\|\rho^\alpha \phi\|_p = 1$. Moreover, ϕ is smooth outside its zero set.*

Proof. From Lemma 11, we obtain that $\lambda_q^\alpha > 0$. Let ϕ_i be a normalized minimizing sequence for λ_q^α, i.e. $\|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_i\|_q = 1$ and $(D\phi_i, \phi_i) \to (\lambda_q^\alpha)^{-1}$. Due to Remark 9.i we can assume that $\phi_i \in \rho^{-\alpha} H_1^q \cap im_{L^q} D \subset H_1^q$. Since $|\rho| \leq 1$, $\|D\phi_i\|_q \leq 1$. Due to the L^q-lower bound, ϕ_i is uniformly bounded in H_1^q. Hence, $\phi_i \to \phi$ weakly in H_1^q and, with the compact Sobolev embedding, even strongly in $\rho^\alpha L^p$. Moreover, since $D\phi_i$ is bounded in $\rho^{-\alpha} L^q$, $\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_i$ converges weakly in L^q to $\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi$. Then, $\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi\|_q \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|(D\phi,\phi)-(D\phi_i,\phi_i)| &\leq |(\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi-\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_i,\rho^{\alpha}\phi)|+|(\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_i,\rho^{\alpha}\phi-\rho^{\alpha}\phi_i)|\\ &\leq \underbrace{|(\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi-\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_i,\rho^{\alpha}\phi)|}_{\to 0 \text{ since } D\phi_i \xrightarrow{w-\rho^{-\alpha}L^q} D\phi}+\underbrace{\|\rho^{\alpha}\phi-\rho^{\alpha}\phi_i\|_p}_{\to 0 \text{ since } \phi_i \xrightarrow{s-\rho^{\alpha}L^p} \phi}\underbrace{\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_i\|_q}_{=1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(D\phi,\phi)=(\lambda_q^\alpha)^{-1}>0$ and we have

$$\lambda_q^\alpha \leq \frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi\|_q^2}{(D\phi,\phi)} \leq \lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_i\|_q^2}{(D\phi_i,\phi_i)}=\lambda_q^\alpha$$

which already implies that $\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi\|_q=1$. Thus, ϕ fulfills the Euler-Lagrange-equation and due to Remark 9.ii we obtain a spinor $\psi\in H_1^q$ with $D\psi=\lambda_q^\alpha\rho^{\alpha p}|\psi|^{p-2}\psi$ with $\|\rho^\alpha\psi\|_p=1$. Smoothness outside the zero set follows from local elliptic regularity theory. ☐

Now, we establish the first step of the convergence , we fix α and let $p\to p_{crit}$.

Lemma 14. *Let $\phi_{\alpha,p}\in H_1^q$ be solutions of $D\phi_{\alpha,p}=\lambda_q^\alpha\rho^{\alpha p}|\phi_{\alpha,p}|^{p-2}\phi_{\alpha,p}$ with $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_{\alpha,p}\|_p=1$, $\alpha>0$ is fixed, $p\in[2,p_{crit})$ and q conjugate to p. Furthermore, let M have bounded geometry, $\lambda^+_{min}(S^n)>\lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}}(M)$ and let D have an L^q-lower bound.*
Then, $\phi_p:=\phi_{\alpha,p}\to\phi_\alpha$ in the C^1-topology on each compact subset as $p\to p_{crit}$ and

$$D\phi_\alpha=\lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}}\rho^{\alpha p_{crit}}|\phi_\alpha|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi_\alpha$$

and $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}}=1$.

Proof. Note first that the parameters p and q are always coupled. Thus, if $q\to q_{crit}$, $p\to p_{crit}$.
From Lemma 33, we know that each $|\phi_p|$ has a maximum. Firstly, we show by contradiction that $m_p:=\max|\phi_p|$ is uniformly bounded. Thus, we assume $m_p\to\infty$. Let $x_p\in M$ be a point where $|\phi_p|$ attains its maximum. Around each x_p, we introduce geodesic normal coordinates on a ball of radius ϵ that is smaller than the injectivity radius $\mathrm{inj}(M)$, compare [10, Lem. 11]. We define $\delta_p=m_p^{2-p}$ and rescale $\psi_p(x)=m_p^{-1}\phi_p(\delta_p x)$. The spinor ψ_p is transported to a spinor on a ball on $\mathbb{R}^n$ via the exponential map. For simplicity we just denote the resulting spinor by ψ_p, too. The weight function in the new coordinates will be denoted by ρ_p. Then, in the resulting rescaled geodesic coordinates the Euler-Lagrange-equation reads

$$D^{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi_p+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{ijk}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k\delta_i\cdot\delta_j\cdot\delta_k\cdot\psi_p+\sum_{ij}(b_i^j-\delta_i^j)\delta_i\cdot\nabla_{\delta_j}\psi_p=\lambda_q^\alpha\rho_p^{\alpha p}|\psi_p|^{p-2}\psi_p$$

where ψ_p is defined on a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $\frac{\epsilon}{\delta_p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}b_i^j(x)&=\delta_i^j-\frac{1}{6}\delta_p^2R_{i\gamma\beta j}(\delta_p x)x^\gamma x^\beta+O(\delta_p^2|x|^2)\to\delta_i^j\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k(x)&=\partial_i b_j^k-\frac{1}{3}\delta_p(R_{ik\gamma j}(\delta_p x)+R_{i\gamma kj}(\delta_p x))x^\gamma+O(\delta_p^2|x|^2)\to 0\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

as $\delta_p \to 0$ (i.e. as $p \to p_{crit}$).
Here we used the local comparison of a Dirac operator with the one of the standard Euclidean space [3, Sect. 3 and 4].
Since the Riemannian curvature and its derivatives are bounded, we have C^1-convergence of (2) on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, for any compact subset K and an open set Ω with $K \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain with interior Schauder and L^p-estimates (see [2, Sect. 3.1.5 and 3.2.2]) that $\psi_p \to \psi$ in C^1 on K. Thus, we have a spinor ψ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $D^{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi = (\lim_{p\to p_{crit}} \rho_p^{\alpha p_{crit}} \lambda_q^\alpha)|\psi|^{p_{crit}-2}\psi$. Moreover, $|\psi| \leq 1$ with $|\psi(0)| = 1$. As in the Yamabe problem, we prove that $\|\psi\|_{p_{crit},g_E} \leq 1$: For $p < p_{crit}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|<\epsilon\delta_p^{-1}} |\psi_p|^p b_p \,\mathrm{dvol}_{g_E} &= \int_{B_\epsilon(x_p)} |\phi_p|^p \delta_p^{\frac{2p}{p-2}-n} \,\mathrm{dvol}_g \\ &\leq C(\epsilon)\|\phi_p\|_{H_1^q}^p \leq \tilde{C}(\epsilon)\|D\phi_p\|_q^p = \tilde{C}(\epsilon)(\lambda_q^\alpha)^p\end{aligned}$$

where $b_p\mathrm{dvol}_{g_E}$ denotes the transported volume element with $b_p \to 1$ as $p \to p_{crit}$, we used the Sobolev embedding on $B_\epsilon(x_p)$ (its constant only depends on ϵ since M is of bounded geometry) and we used the L^q-lower bound. Thus, with Fatous Lemma we obtain $\psi \in L^{p_{crit}}(g_E)$.
Consider firstly the case that x_p espape to infity as $p \to p_{crit}$. Then $\rho_p \to 0$ and, hence, $D^{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi = 0$. Thus, we have an $L^{p_{crit}}$-harmonic spinor on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\psi(0)| = 1$ which is a contradiction. Thus, we can stick to the case that $x_p \to y \in M$. Then, for $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|<\epsilon_1\delta_p^{-1}} |\psi_p|^p b_p \,\mathrm{dvol}_{g_E} &\leq \max_{B_{\epsilon_1}(x_p)} \rho^{-\alpha p} \int_{B_{\epsilon_1}(x_p)} \rho^{\alpha p}|\phi_p|^p \delta_p^{\frac{2p}{p-2}-n} \,\mathrm{dvol}_g \\ &\leq \delta_p^{\frac{2p}{p-2}-n} \max_{B_{\epsilon_1}(x_p)} \rho^{-\alpha p} \leq \max_{B_{\epsilon_1}(x_p)} \rho^{-\alpha p}.\end{aligned}$$

With Fatous Lemma we obtain $\|\psi\|_{p_{crit},g_E} \leq \max_{B_{\epsilon_1}(y)} \rho^{-\alpha}$. Since ϵ_1 can be chosen arbitrarily small we have $\|\psi\|_{p_{crit},g_E} \leq \rho^{-\alpha}(y)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{min}^+(S^n) = \lambda_{min}^+(\mathbb{R}^n) &\leq \frac{\|D^{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi\|_{q_{crit}}^2}{(D^{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi, \psi)} \\ &= (\lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha \rho^{\alpha p_{crit}\left(\frac{2}{q_{crit}}-1\right)}(y)\|\psi\|_{p_{crit}}^{p_{crit}(\frac{2}{q_{crit}}-1)} \leq \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction. Thus, $|\phi_\alpha|$ is bounded from above. Using again interior Schauder and L^p-estimates, we can then show that ϕ_α is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\alpha}$ on each bounded subset and, hence, converges in C^1 on every compact subset $K \subset M$ to a spinor ϕ_α. Thus, we have $D\phi_\alpha \leq \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha \rho^{\alpha p_{crit}}|\phi_\alpha|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi_\alpha$ and $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}} \leq 1$.
We still need to show that $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$:
Assume that $\phi_\alpha = 0$. Fix $R > 0$ and let B_R be the ball of radius R around the fixed $z \in M$ (cf. Definition of ρ in Section 3) we obtain that

$$\int_{M\setminus B_R} |D\phi_p|^q \mathrm{dvol}_g \leq \max_{M\setminus B_R} \rho^{\alpha q}\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_p\|_q^q \leq Ce^{-R\alpha q}(\lambda_q^\alpha)^q.$$

With

$$\lambda_q \leq \frac{\|D\phi_p\|_q^2}{(D\phi_p, \phi_p)} = \left(\lambda_q^\alpha\right)^{-1}\|D\phi_p\|_q^2 \leq \left(\lambda_q^\alpha\right)^{-1}\|\rho^{-\alpha}D\phi_p\|_q^2 = \lambda_q^\alpha,$$

we then get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R}|D\phi_p|^q\mathrm{dvol}_g &= \int_M |D\phi_p|^q\mathrm{dvol}_g - \int_{M\setminus B_R}|D\phi_p|^q\mathrm{dvol}_g\\ &\geq (\lambda_q^\alpha\lambda_q)^{\frac{q}{2}} - Ce^{-R\alpha q}(\lambda_q^\alpha)^q\end{aligned}$$

and ,thus,

$$\limsup_{q\to q_{crit}}\int_{B_R}|D\phi_p|^q\mathrm{dvol}_g \geq (\lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha \limsup_{q\to q_{crit}}\lambda_q)^{\frac{q_{crit}}{2}} - Ce^{-R\alpha q_{crit}}(\lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha)^{q_{crit}} =: a$$

From Lemma 11 we know that $\limsup_{q\to q_{crit}}\lambda_q > 0$. Thus, $R = R(\alpha)$ can be chosen big enough, that $a > 0$. But with

$$\int_{B_R}|D\phi_p|^q\mathrm{dvol}_g \leq \left(\int_{B_R}|D\phi_p|^{q_{crit}}\mathrm{dvol}_g\right)^{\frac{q}{q_{crit}}}\mathrm{vol}(B_R, g)^{1-\frac{q}{q_{crit}}}$$

this contradicts the assumption that ϕ_p goes to zero on compact subsets. Thus, $\phi_\alpha \neq 0$ and we obtain with

$$0 < \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha \leq \frac{\|D\phi_\alpha\|_{q_{crit}}^2}{(D\phi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)} \leq \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}}^{2-q_{crit}}$$

in the usual way that $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$ and $D\phi_\alpha = \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha\rho^{\alpha p_{crit}}|\phi_\alpha|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi_\alpha$. ☐

Lastly, we get rid of the weight as $\alpha \to 0$.

Lemma 15. *Assume there is a sequence of smooth and positive spinors $\phi_\alpha \in H_1^{q_{crit}}$ fulfilling $D\phi_\alpha = \lambda_{q_{crit}}^\alpha\rho^{\alpha p_{crit}}|\phi_\alpha|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi_\alpha$ with $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_\alpha\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then, $\phi_\alpha \to \phi$ as $\alpha \to 0$ in C^1 on each compact subset and $D\phi \leq \lambda_{min}^+|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$.*
If additionally $\overline{\lambda_{min}^+(M)} > \lambda_{min}^+(M) > 0$, then $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$.

Proof. The first claim is proven as in Lemma 14 and we obtain that $\phi_\alpha \to \phi$ as $\alpha \to 0$ in C^1 on each compact subset with $D\phi \leq \lambda_{min}^+|\phi|^{p-2}\phi$.
Let now $\overline{\lambda_{min}^+(M)} > \lambda_{min}^+(M)$. We need to show that $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$.
Assume that ϕ_α converges to 0:
We introduce a smooth cut-off function $\eta_R \leq 1$ with support in $M \setminus B_R(z)$ for the fixed $z \in M$, $\eta_R \equiv 1$ on $M \setminus B_{2R}$. Then,

$$\left|\int_{M\setminus B_R}|D(\eta_R\phi_\alpha)|^{q_{crit}}\mathrm{dvol}_g - \int_{M\setminus B_R}|D\phi_\alpha|^{q_{crit}}\mathrm{dvol}_g\right| \to 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \to 0$$

since $\phi_\alpha \to 0$ in C^1 on each compact set. Hence, with $(\phi_\alpha, D\phi_\alpha) = \lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^+_{min}(M)^2 &= \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}}(M)^2 = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \|\rho^{-\alpha} D\phi_\alpha\|^2_{q_{crit}} \\
&\geq \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \|D\phi_\alpha\|^2_{q_{crit}} = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \|D(\eta_R\phi_\alpha)\|^2_{q_{crit}} \\
&\geq \lambda^+_{min}(M\setminus B_R) \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \int_{M\setminus B_R} \langle \eta_R\phi_\alpha, D(\eta_R\phi_\alpha)\rangle \mathrm{dvol}_g \\
&= \lambda^+_{min}(M\setminus B_R) \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \left((\phi_\alpha, D\phi_\alpha) - \int_{B_{2R}} (1-\eta_R^2)\langle \phi_\alpha, D\phi_\alpha\rangle \mathrm{dvol}_g \right) \\
&= \lambda^+_{min}(M\setminus B_R) \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}} \left(1 - \int_{B_{2R}} (1-\eta_R^2)\rho^{\alpha p_{crit}} |\phi_\alpha|^{p_{crit}} \mathrm{dvol}_g \right) \\
&= \lambda^+_{min}(M\setminus B_R)\lambda^+_{min}(M)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and last equality use Lemma 10.i. Since $\lambda^+_{min} > 0$, this implies $\lambda^+_{min}(M) \geq \lambda^+_{min}(M\setminus B_R)$ which gives the contradiction.

Thus, $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} > 0$. With $D\phi \leq \lambda^+_{min}|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$ and $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\lambda^+_{min} \leq \frac{\|D\phi\|^2_{p_{crit}}}{(\phi, D\phi)} \leq \lambda^+_{min}\|\phi\|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}_{p_{crit}} \leq \lambda^+_{min}.$$

The equality implies $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$ and $D\phi = \lambda^+_{min}|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$. □

Combining the Lemmas above, we obtain:

Proof of Theorem 2. Firstly, we note that from $\overline{\lambda^+_{min}(M)} > \lambda^+_{min}(M)$ we obtain $\lambda^+_{min}(S^n) > \lambda^+_{min}(M)$. Thus, with Lemma 10.i there is an $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda^+_{min}(S^n) > \lambda^\alpha_{q_{crit}}(M)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)$. Moreover, Lemma 11 states that $\lambda^+_{min}(M) > 0$. Hence, we can combine Lemma 13, 14 and 15 to obtain Theorem 2. The local $C^{1,\alpha}$-regularity of the solution follows as in the compact case [2, Sect. 4.4] by the standard backtracking argument and L^p- and Schauder estimates (cf. Remark 31.iii). □

As in the case of the Yamabe invariant [10, Thm. 13], in order to drop the condition on the λ^+_{min}-invariant at infinity we can consider homogeneous manifolds with positive scalar curvature:

Theorem 16. *Let (M, g, σ) be a Riemannian spin manifold. Furthermore, we assume that its Dirac operator has an $L^{[q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]}$-lower bound for an $\epsilon > 0$,* $\mathrm{scal} \geq c > 0$ *and that there exists a relatively compact set $U \subset\subset M$ such that for all $x \in M$ there is an isometry $f : M \to M$ with $f(x) \in U$. Then there is a spinor $\phi \in H_1^{q_{crit}} \cap L^{p_{crit}}$ that is smooth outside its zero set and fulfills $D\phi = \lambda^+_{min}|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$ and $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$. Moreover, ϕ is locally in $C^{1,\alpha}$.*

Proof. Due to the isometries, M has bounded geometry. Thus, the Sobolev embeddings $H_1^q \to \rho^\alpha L^p$ are compact for $q \in (q_{crit}, 2]$, q conjugate to p and $\alpha > 0$. Hence, we can apply Lemma 13 and obtain solutions $\phi_{\alpha,p} \in H_1^q$ of $D\phi_{\alpha,p} = \lambda^\alpha_q \rho^{\alpha p}|\phi_{\alpha,p}|^{p-2}\phi_p$ and $\|\rho^\alpha\phi_{\alpha,p}\|_p = 1$.

We choose $\alpha(p)$ such that $\lambda_q^{\alpha(p)} - \lambda_q \to 0$ and $\alpha(p) \to 0$ as $q \to q_{crit}$. Due to Lemma 10.i this is always possible. In the following, we abbreviate $\alpha = \alpha(p)$.

As in the proof of Lemma 14 we obtain that a subsequence of $\phi_p := \phi_{\alpha(p),p}$ converges to ϕ in C^1-topology.
Lemma 33 shows that every $|\phi_p|$ has a maximum. Due to the isometries we can assume that $\max|\phi_p| = |\phi_p(x_p)|$ where $x_p \in U$. Then, we have

$$D^2\phi_p = (\lambda_q^\alpha)^2\rho^{2\alpha p}|\phi_p|^{2(p-2)}\phi_p + \lambda_q^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}(\rho^{\alpha p}|\phi_{\alpha,p}|^{p-2})\cdot\phi_p.$$

Taking the real part of the scalar product with ϕ_p we obtain using $\langle df\cdot\phi,\phi\rangle \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$:

$$(\lambda_q^\alpha)^2\rho^{2\alpha p}|\phi_p|^{2(p-1)} = \mathrm{Re}\langle D^2\phi_p,\phi_p\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\phi_p,\Delta\phi_p\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4}|\phi_p|^2.$$

With $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi_p|^2 + \langle\phi_p,\Delta\phi_p\rangle = |\Delta\phi_p|^2$ and $\Delta|\phi_p(x_p)|^2 \geq 0$ we get

$$(\lambda_q^\alpha)^2\rho^{2\alpha p}(x_p)|\phi_p(x_p)|^{2(p-1)} \geq \frac{\mathrm{scal}\,(x_p)}{4}|\phi_p(x_p)|^2 \geq \frac{c}{4}|\phi_p(x_p)|^2.$$

Since $\rho \leq 1$,

$$|\phi_p(x_p)|^{2(p-2)} \geq \frac{c}{4}(\lambda_q^\alpha)^{-2}.$$

Thus, with $\lim_{p\to p_{crit}}\lambda_q^\alpha = \lim_{p\to p_{crit}}\lambda_q \geq \lambda_{min}^+$ and $\lambda_{min}^+ > 0$, cf. Lemma 11, we get

$$|\phi(x)|^{2(p_{crit}-2)} \geq \frac{c}{4}(\lambda_{min}^+)^{-2}$$

where $x \in \overline{U}$ is the limit of a convergent subsequence of x_p. Hence, $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} > 0$ and, thus, as in Lemma 15 we have $D\phi = \lambda_{min}^+|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi$ with $\|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$. Local $C^{1,\alpha}$-regularity follows as in Theorem 2. □

Example 17. Let $M = S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ for $n \geq 2$ be equipped with the standard product structure and its unique spin structure. Then, M is homogenous and has positive scalar curvature. In order to apply Theorem 16 one has to show that M has an $L^{[q_{crit},q_{crit}+\epsilon]}$-lower bound for a small $\epsilon > 0$. Assume that M has an L^q-harmonic spinor ϕ for $q \in [q_{crit}, q_{crit}+\epsilon]$ then due to the Sobolev embedding gives that ϕ is already an L^2-harmonic spinor which cannot happen since M has positive scalar curvature. Thus, it remains to consider the essential L^q-spectrum. It can be checked that the Dirac operator in this example is L^q invertible for all q and, thus, a minimizing solution is obtained.

In the remark below we want to examine open manifold which are spin conformally compactifiable by codimension greater or equal two. We want to study how the solutions on the manifold and its compactification are related.

Remark 18. Let (M,g,σ) be an open connected Riemannian spin manifold that is spin conformally compactifiable to a closed Riemannian spin manifold (N,h,ξ), i.e. there a conformal embedding $f: M \to N$ that is compatible with the spin structures. Assume that $S := N \setminus f(M)$ is a compact submanifold of N of codimension ≥ 2. Then, $\lambda_{min}^+(N) = \lambda_{min}^+(M) =: \lambda$ (see [11, Lem. 2.1] for codimension > 2 and [9, Lem. 3.1.1]). On N the Euler-Lagrange equation has at least one solution $\phi \in H_1^{q_{crit}}$ of

$$D\phi = \lambda|\phi|^{p_{crit}-2}\phi \quad \|\phi\|_{p_{crit}} = 1$$

that is $C^{1,\alpha}$ and smooth outside its zero set. Since the Euler-Langrange equation including the $L^{p_{crit}}$-condition is conformally invariant, each solution ϕ on N gives a solution $f^*(\phi_{|_{f(M)}})$ on M.
On the other hand, let ψ be a solution on N. Then, $\phi = f_*(\psi)$ is a solution on $f(M)$ just by conformal invariance. Due to [4, Thm. 3.1] we can remove the singularity in S and ϕ fulfills the Euler-Lagrange equation on M. This showed that we have a one-to-one of solutions on M and such on N.

4 Conformal Hijazi inequality

On closed manifolds, the Hijazi inequality gives an estimate for eigenvalues of the Dirac operator in terms of the lowest eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian $L = 4\frac{n-1}{n-2}\Delta + \mathrm{scal}:$

Theorem 19. *[12] Let (M^n, g, σ) be a closed spin manifold of dimension n. Let further λ be an eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and μ the lowest eigenvalue of L. Then*

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)}\mu.$$

The conformal Laplacian is highly related to the Yamabe invariant Q: For closed manifolds with $Q \geq 0$ we have

$$Q(M,g) = \inf_{\overline{g}\in[g]} \mu(\overline{g})\mathrm{vol}(M,\overline{g})^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

where $[g]$ denotes the conformal class of g. An analogous result holds for λ^+_{min} on closed manifolds [1, Prop. 2.6]:

$$\lambda^+_{min}(M,g,\sigma) = \inf_{\overline{g}\in[g]} \lambda^+_1(\overline{g})\mathrm{vol}(M,\overline{g})^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $\lambda^+_1(\overline{g})$ is the first positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator w.r.t. the metric $\overline{g}$. Thus, there is a conformal version of the Hijazi inequality on closed manifolds:

$$\lambda^+_{min}(M,g,\sigma)^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)}Q(M,g).$$

Note that only the left side of the inequality depends on the spin structure.

In [8], we examined whether the Hijazi inequality on complete open manifolds holds when one replaces the eigenvalues by elements of the corresponding spectra. There we saw that for general open manifolds there is no hope for an Hijazi inequality, the standard hyperbolic space gives a counterexample [9, Rem. 4.2.1.] since $\mu(\mathbb{H}^n) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} > 0$ but $\lambda^+_1(\mathbb{H}^n) = 0$. That is why we restricted in [8] to complete manifolds of finite volume in order to obtain an Hijazi inequality on those manifolds.
But the conformal Hijazi inequality is e.g. also valid for the hyperbolic space since $Q(\mathbb{H}^n) = Q(S^n)$ and $\lambda^+_{min}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \lambda^+_{min}(S^n)$ since $\mathbb{H}^n$ is conformally equivalent to a subset of the standard sphere. Thus, there is hope for the conformal Hijazi inequality to hold more generally.

Below we will show that if there is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation, the conformal version of the Hijazi inequality holds:

Theorem 20. *Let (M, g, σ) be a complete n-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold with $n \geq 3$. Moreover, assume that there exists a spinor $\phi \in H_1^q(M, S) \cap L^p(M, S)$, smooth outside its zero set, with $p = \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and q conjugate to p such that it is a weak solution of $D\phi = \lambda|\phi|^{p-2}\phi$ and $\|\phi\|_p = 1$. Then,*

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} Q.$$

In particular, if $\phi \in ker_{L^p} D \cap H_1^q$, then $Q \leq 0$.

Proof. We define $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{n-1}$. We note that due to the unique continuation property of the Dirac operator the zero set of ϕ has zero n-dimensional volume.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{Q}{4}\left(\int_M |\phi|^{\alpha\frac{2n}{n-2}} \mathrm{dvol}_g\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} - \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda^2 \int_M |\phi|^p \mathrm{dvol}_g \\
&\quad \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_M |\phi|^\alpha L |\phi|^\alpha \mathrm{dvol}_g - \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda^2 \int_M |\phi|^{2(p-2)}|\phi|^{2\alpha} \mathrm{dvol}_g \\
&\quad \leq \int_M |\phi|^{2\alpha-2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}|\mathrm{d}|\phi||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d}|\phi|^2\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4} - \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda^2 |\phi|^{2(p-2)}\right)|\phi|^2\right) \mathrm{dvol}_g
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d}|\phi|^2 = \langle D^2\phi, \phi\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4}|\phi|^2 - |\nabla\phi|^2$ and $|\nabla\phi|^2 = |\nabla^f\phi|^2 + 2\frac{f}{n}\langle(D - f)\phi, \phi\rangle + \frac{f^2}{n}|\phi|^2$ with $f = \lambda|\phi|^{2(p-2)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q}{4} - \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda^2 &\leq \int_M |\phi|^{2\alpha-2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}|\mathrm{d}|\phi||^2 - |\nabla^f\phi|^2 + \langle(D^2 - f^2)\phi, \phi\rangle\right) \mathrm{dvol}_g \\
&= \int_M |\phi|^{2\alpha-2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}|\mathrm{d}|\phi||^2 - |\nabla^{\lambda|\phi|^{p-2}}\phi|^2\right) \mathrm{dvol}_g \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the refined Kato inequality [5, (3.9)].
These estimates imply that $\int_M |\phi|^\alpha L|\phi|^\alpha \mathrm{dvol}_g < \infty$. □

Theorem 5 is now just a combination of the Theorems 2, 16 and 20.

A Sobolev embeddings

Let E be a hermitian vector bundle on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with connection ∇. Denote by $\Gamma_c(E)$ the compactly supported smooth sections of E. Define for $u \in \Gamma_c(E)$

$$\|u\ |H_s^p(E)\|^p = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \int_M |\underbrace{\nabla \cdots \nabla}_{j\ times} u|^p \mathrm{dvol}_g \tag{3}$$

and $H_s^p(E)$ as the completion of $\Gamma_c(E)$ with respect to this norm.

On closed manifolds M^n, the Sobolev spaces do not depend on the metric on M and the connection on E. Sobolev embeddings on hermitian vector bundles can then be examined by the following procedure: One uses the known

Sobolev embeddings for complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and generalize them to $\mathbb{C}^r$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This generalization follows immediately since $\|f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)^T \ |H_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)\| \sim \sum_{i=0}^r \|f_i \ |H_s^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$, cf. Lemma 26. Then, one uses a finite covering of M with a corresponding trivialization of E to get a Sobolev embedding for E.

On open manifolds, in general there is a dependence on both the metric and the connection. We will concentrate on bundles of bounded geometry, i.e. the manifold itself is of bounded geometry and the curvature of E and all its derivatives are bounded as well.

In [15], continuity and compactness for weighted Sobolev embeddings were studied for Sobolev spaces of real valued functions. We will need the same result for Sobolev spaces of bundles, especially the compactness of the embedding $H_1^q(M, S) \hookrightarrow \rho L^p(M, S)$ on spinors for q and p conjugate, ρ a radial weight (see A.1) and $2 \leq p < p_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n-1}$. In this Appendix, we want to use the result from Skrzypczak [15] to obtain the following theorem (or more generally a bundle version of Theorem 24):

Theorem 21. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional manifold with an hermitian vector bundle E of bounded geometry (e.g. if M is a spin manifold, let E be the corresponding spinor bundle). Let ρ be a radial weight with $\rho \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Then the Sobolev embedding $H_1^q(E) \hookrightarrow L^p(E)$ is continuous and $H_1^q(E) \hookrightarrow \rho L^p(E)$ is compact for all $2 \leq p < p_{crit} = \frac{2n}{n-1}$ and $\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$.*

If one is just interested in continuous embedding, it is much easier to carry over the local results to manifolds of bounded geometry by chosing a suitable covering as it is indicated in Corollary 32.

A.1 Weighted function spaces

Definition 22. *[15, Def. 2+4] A function $w : M^n \to (0.\infty)$ is called an* admissible weight *if*
i) w is infinitely differentiable and
ii) the quantities

$$C_w := \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{y \in \overline{B_1(x)}} \frac{w(y)}{w(x)}, \quad c_{w,k} := \sup_{x \in M} \frac{|\nabla^k w(x)|}{w(x)}$$

are finite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
If additionally there is a positive function $\kappa : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ and a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $w(x) \sim \kappa(dist(x_0, x))$, the weight is called radial w.r.t. x_0.

Remark 23.
i) The equivalence relation $a \sim b$ means that there exists a constant c that is independent on the context dependent relevant parameters such that $c^{-1}a \leq b \leq ca$.
ii) An example of a radial weight is given by $w(x) = e^{\alpha \tilde{r}}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{r} \sim r = dist(x, x_0)$ is a smooth substitute for the distance function [14, Lem. 2.1].

The weighted spaces $L^p(E,w) = wL^p(E)$ are simply defined as completion of $\Gamma_c(E)$ by the quasi-norm $\|\phi\ |L^p(E,w)\| := \|w\phi\ |L^p(E)\|$. Analog definitions give weighted H^s_p-spaces and weighted Besov spaces B^s_{pq}. For the definition of (unweighted) Besov spaces see [18, Chap. 2] or Def. 25 and Section A.3.

For Sobolev embeddings of spaces of scalar-valued functions, there is the following result of Skrzypczak:

Theorem 24. *[15, Thm. 2 + Cor. 2] Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $w(x) = v(|x|)$ be a radial admissible weight on M^n.*
i) *Then, the embedding $B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(M^n, w) \hookrightarrow B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(M^n)$ holds if and only if*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} v(m)^{-p*} a(m) \text{ if } p* < \infty \text{ or } \sup_m v(m)^{-1} < \infty \text{ if } p* = \infty \tag{4}$$

and

$$s_1 - s_2 - n\left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \begin{cases} \geq \frac{n}{p*} & \text{if } q* = \infty \\ > \frac{n}{p*} & \text{if } q* < \infty \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\frac{1}{p*} := \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)_+$ and $\frac{1}{q*} := \left(\frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}\right)_+$ with $a_+ = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } a \leq 0 \\ a \text{ for } a > 0 \end{cases}$.
ii) *The embeddings $B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(M^n, w) \hookrightarrow B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(M^n)$ and $H^{s_1}_{p_1}(M, w) \hookrightarrow H^{s_2}_{p_2}(M)$ are compact if and only if (4), (5),*

$$s_1 - s_2 - n\left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) > \frac{n}{p*} \text{ if } q* = \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} v(m) = \infty \text{ if } p* = \infty$$

hold.

Strategy of proof.

1. Define a wavelet frame $\{\psi_i\}$ on M.
2. Define weighted sequence spaces $b^s_{p,q}(M, \rho)$ such that the map

   $$B^s_{p,q}(M,\rho) \to b^s_{p,q}(M,\rho), \quad f \mapsto \{\lambda_i = \langle f, \psi_i\rangle\}$$

   is an homeomorphism. Thus, it is sufficient to prove continuity and compactness on the level of the sequence spaces, where corresponding results are known.

Theorem 24 also holds for a vector bundle E of bounded geometry. With some few adaptions which will be discussed in the following the proof can be simply overtaken.
For that we firstly consider trivial $\mathbb{C}^r$-bundles over $\mathbb{R}^n$, see Section A.2, where we trace back the (quasi-)norms of function spaces of vector-valued functions to the ones of scalar-valued functions. Next, we give the definition of a wavelet frame on spaces of manifolds of bounded geometry, see Sect. A.3. Then, we will see that the appearing weighted sequences spaces differ from the ones in the scalar-valued case just by a finite summation that does not affect the old proof.

A.2 Function spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with values in a trivial hermitian bundle

We start with the case where E is a trivial $\mathbb{C}^r$-bundle over the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let the Schwarz space of all complex-valued rapidly decreasing, infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)$, i.e. $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_r)^T$ with $\phi_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we denote the Fourier transform by

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = (F\phi)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi x} \phi_i(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^T, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The inverse Fourier transform will be denoted by $F^{-1}\phi$ or $\check{\phi}$.

Moreover, let α_j be a dyadic resolution of unity defined by: $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\alpha_0(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and $\alpha_0(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Let $\alpha_1(x) = \alpha_0(\frac{x}{2}) - \alpha_0(x)$ and $\alpha_k(x) = \alpha_1(2^{-k+1}x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. α_0 is chosen such that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j(x) = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 25. *[17, Sect. 2.3.1] Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.*
Let $0 < p \leq \infty$. The Besov space $B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)$ is the collection of all $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the norm

$$\|\phi | B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)\| = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|(\alpha_j \hat{\phi})\check{} \mid L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite.
For $q = \infty$, we set $\|\phi \mid B^s_{p\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)\| = \sup_j 2^{sj} \|(\alpha_j \hat{\phi})\check{} \mid L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)\|$.

The goal is to trace back everything to the (quasi-)norms of complex-valued functions:

Lemma 26.
i) Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)^T \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r, w)$. Then,

$$\|f \ |L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r, w)\| \sim \sum_{i=1}^{r} \|f_i \ |L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)\|.$$

ii) $\|\phi \mid B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r, w)\| \sim \sum_{i=1}^r \|\phi_i \ |B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, w)\|$

Proof. i) We abbreviate both L^p-quasi-norms with $\|.\|$. Whether we mean the complex vector valued or just the complex valued function will be clear from the context. From $\|f\| = \|(\sum |f_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|$ we obtain with

$$\sum_i \|f_i\| \leq r \|\sum_i |f_i| \, \| \leq r^{\frac{3}{2}} \|(\sum_i |f_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \leq r^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sum_i |f_i| \, \| \leq r^{\frac{3}{2}} K \sum_i \|f_i\|$$

the equivalence. Here, the first inequality is obtained from $\|f_i\| \leq \|\sum_i |f_i| \, \|$ for each i, the second is the Cauchy-Schwarz-inequality, the third is obtained by $\sum |f_i|^2 \leq (\sum |f_i|)^2$ and the fourth is the triangle inequality for a quasi-norm with constant $K > 1$.

ii) As in i) and due to the unconditional convergence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi \mid B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r, w)\| &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \sum_{i=0}^{r} \|(\alpha_j \hat{\phi_i})\check{} \mid L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r, w)\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|(\alpha_j \hat{\phi_i})\check{} \mid L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \|\phi_i \mid B^s_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^n, w)\|.\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, the following properties carry over to vector-valued functions:

Remark 27.
i) The Besov spaces are independent of the dyadic resolution of unity α_j [18, Sect. 2.3.2].
ii) If the B^s_{pq}-quasi-norm from above is finite, the sum converges unconditionally [19, Thm. 1.19].

A.3 Spaces on manifolds of bounded geometry

Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry with hermitian vector bundle E of bounded curvature. We choose a synchronous trivialization of E, i.e. on a ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ around p we choose normal coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$, trivialize E via parallel transport along radial geodesics and identify E with $\mathbb{C}^r$.

Lemma 28. *[2, Lem. 3.1.6] In the synchronous trivialization described above the following estimates hold for any ϵ smaller than a certain $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(K := \max(|R|, |R^E|), n, \mathrm{inj})$ and for all $q \in B_\epsilon(p)$:*

$$|\Gamma^k_{ij}(q)| \leq C(n)K\epsilon$$

$$|(\nabla_k \phi)(q) - (\partial_k \phi)(q)| \leq C(n, r)K\epsilon|\phi(q)|$$

Denote by $\{U_\alpha, \xi_\alpha\}$ an open cover of M with synchronous trivializations ξ_α and let $\{\varkappa_\alpha\}$ be a smooth subordinated partition of unity. For simplicity, we assume that the injectivity radius is greater than 1 and $U_\alpha = B_1(x_\alpha)$.
Then, we define

$$\|\phi\|^*_{H^p_s(E)} := \sum_\alpha \|\xi_{\alpha *}(\varkappa_\alpha \phi)\|_{H^p_s(\mathbb{R}^n,\, \mathbb{C}^r)}$$

where $\|..\|_{H^p_s(\mathbb{R}^n,\, \mathbb{C}^r)}$ is defined in (3).
Analogously, we obtain the norms for $B^s_{pq}(E)$ and $F^s_{pq}(E)$ in terms of the corresponding spaces on the trivial $\mathbb{C}^r$-bundle on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 29. From Lemma 28, we see that the norms $\|.\|^*_{H^p_s(E)}$ and $\|.\|_{H^p_s(E)}$ are equivalent.

To obtain Theorem 24 on E, we can now just follow the lines of the original proof:
Let E be trivialized as above. Over a coordinate chart we can assume the bundle be trivial, see Remark 29. From an orthonormal basis ψ_i in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

we get an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^r)$ as $\overline{\psi}_{i,k} = (0, \dots, 0, \psi_i, 0, \dots, .., 0)$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$. Thus, we obtain a wavelet frame $\overline{\Psi}_{ik}$ by requiring that for all $f \in L^2(E)$ it is $\langle f, \overline{\Psi}_{ik\alpha}\rangle = \langle \xi_{\alpha *}(\varkappa_\alpha \phi \circ \exp_{x_\alpha}), \overline{\psi}_{ik}\rangle$, where $\langle , \rangle$ denote the corresponding L^2-products. Then,

$$\|f\ |L^2(E)\| \sim \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{i,\alpha} |\langle f, \overline{\psi}_{ik\alpha}\rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Analogously, as in [15, Thm.1] we obtain an equivalent norm for the weighted Besov spaces in terms of the weighted L^2-products $\langle f, \overline{\Psi}_{ik\alpha}\rangle w(x_\alpha)$ where x_α is the center of U_α. The only difference to the case of scalar-valued functions is always the finite summation over k which does not affect continuity and compactness. Thus, the proof of [15] simply carries over.
Thus, Theorem 24 also holds the corresponding spaces on vector bundles of bounded geometry and Theorem 21 is then just a special case.

A.4 Spin manifolds of bounded geometry

In this section, we will just note some specialties about Sobolev spaces on spinors needed in this article.
For that, let (M^n, g, σ) be a Riemannian spin manifold. Choose $E = S_g$ the corresponding spinor bundle and let $D = D_g$ be the associated Dirac operator.

Remark 30. If M has bounded geometry, $E = S_g$ also does and from [2, Sect. 3.1.3] we see that

$$\|\phi\ |H^p_s(M, S)\|^p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{s} \int_M |\underbrace{D \cdots D}_{j\ times} u|^p \mathrm{dvol}_g.$$

Remark 31. Let (M, g, σ) be a spin manifold with bounded geometry.
i) (Inner L^p-estimate, [7, proof of Thm. 8.8], spin version [2, proof of Thm. 3.2.1 and 3.2.3]) Let $\phi \in H^q_{1,loc}$ be a solution of $D\phi = \psi$ for $\psi \in L^q_{loc}$. Then, for $\epsilon > 0$ (smaller than the injectivity radius) there exists a constant $C_\epsilon(q)$ such that for all $x \in M$

$$\|\phi\|_{H^q_1(B_\epsilon(x))} \leq C_\epsilon(q)(\|\phi\|_{L^q(B_\epsilon(x))} + \|\psi\|_{L^q(B_\epsilon(x))})$$

ii) (Imbedding) Let $n < q$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 - \frac{n}{q}$. From a spin version of the proof of [7, Sect. 7.8 (Thm 7.26)] we have that for $\epsilon < \mathrm{inj}(M)$ there exists a constant C such that for all $x \in M$ $H^q_1(B_\epsilon(x))$ is continuously embedded in $C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{B_\epsilon(x)})$.
iii) (Schauder estimates) Analogoulsy we have a constant $C(\epsilon, \delta) > 0$ such that for $\alpha > 0$, $\psi \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}$ with $D\phi = \psi$ it holds for all $x \in M$

$$\|\phi\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_\epsilon(x))} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{C^0(B_{\epsilon+\delta}(x))} + \|\psi\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_{\epsilon+\delta}(x))}.$$

Corollary 32. *The inner L^p-estimates and the imbedding of Remark 31 hold globally on manifold of bounded geometry, i.e. i) There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for $\phi \in H^q_2$ and $\psi \in L^q$ with $D\phi = \psi$ it holds*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^q_2} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^q} + \|\psi\|_{L^q}).$$

ii) Let $n < q$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 - \frac{n}{q}$. There exists a constant C such that H^q_1 is continuously embedded in $C^{0,\gamma}$.

Proof. The proof is done analogously as in [10, Cor. 21] by choosing a countable covering of M by geodesic balls B_i all of radius $\epsilon < \mathrm{inj}(M)$. Moreover, the covering is chosen such that it is of (finite) multiplicity m, i.e. the maximal number of subsets with nonempty intersection is m, cf. [15, Sect. 2.1]. $\square$

Lemma 33. *Let $\phi \in H_1^q$ be a solution of $D\phi = c\rho^{\alpha p}|\phi|^{p-2}\phi$ with $\|\rho^\alpha \phi\|_p = 1$ for $p < p_{crit}$. Then, $\limsup_{|x|\to\infty} |\phi(x)| = 0$, in particular $|\phi|$ has a maximum.*

The proof is done simultaneously to the corresponding result for the conformal Laplacian [10, Lem. 22].

References

[1] Ammann, B. The smallest Dirac eigenvalue in a spin-conformal class and cmc-immersions. *arXiv: math.DG/0309061 v2.*

[2] Ammann, B. A variational problem in conformal spin geometry. *Habilitation* (Hamburg, 2003).

[3] Ammann, B., Grosjean, J.-F., Humbert, E., and Morel, B. A spinorial analogue of Aubin's inequality. *Math. Z. 260*, 1 (2008), 127–151.

[4] Ammann, B., and Humbert, E. The spinorial τ-invariant and 0-dimensional surgery. *arXiv: math.DG/0607716 v1.*

[5] Calderbank, D. M. J., Gauduchon, P., and Herzlich, M. Refined Kato inequalities and conformal weights in Riemannian geometry. *J. Funct. Anal. 173*, 1 (2000), 214–255.

[6] Charalambous, N. On the L^p independence of the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on non-compact manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal. 224*, 1 (2005), 22–48.

[7] Gilbarg, D., and Trudinger, N. S. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[8] Gross e, N. The Hijazi inequality on conformally parabolic manifolds. *arXiv: math.DG/0804.3878 v2.*

[9] Grosse, N. On a spin conformal invariant on open manifolds. *Dissertation. Universität Leipzig (2008).*

[10] Grosse, N. The Yamabe equation on manifolds of bounded geometry. *arXiv: 0912.4398v2.*

[11] Grosse, N. On a conformal invariant of the Dirac operator on noncompact manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 30*, 4 (2006), 407–416.

[12] Hijazi, O. A conformal lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and Killing spinors. *Comm. Math. Phys. 104*, 1 (1986), 151–162.

[13] Lee, J. M., and Parker, T. H. The Yamabe problem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 17*, 1 (1987), 37–91.

[14] Shubin, M. Spectral theory of the Schrödinger operators on non-compact manifolds: qualitative results. In *Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998)*, vol. 273 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 226–283.

[15] Skrzypczak, L. Wavelet frames, Sobolev embeddings and negative spectrum of Schrödinger operators on manifolds with bounded geometry. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 14*, 3 (2008), 415–442.

[16] Sturm, K.-T. On the L^p-spectrum of uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal. 118*, 2 (1993), 442–453.

[17] Triebel, H. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators.* VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.

[18] Triebel, H. *Theory of function spaces. II*, vol. 84 of *Monographs in Mathematics.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

[19] Triebel, H. *Theory of function spaces. III*, vol. 100 of *Monographs in Mathematics.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University Leipzig,
Johannisgasse 26, 04103 Leipzig
Email-address: grosse@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de